

Shasta County

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

1450 Court Street, Suite 308B Redding, California 96001-1680 (530) 225-5557 (800) 479-8009 (530) 225-5189-FAX DAVID A. KEHOE, DISTRICT 1
LEONARD MOTY, DISTRICT 2
MARY RICKERT, DISTRICT 3
STEVE MORGAN, DISTRICT 4
LES BAUGH, DISTRICT 5

July 18, 2017

The Honorable Gary Gibson Presiding Judge, Shasta County Superior Court 1500 Court St., Rm. 205 Redding, CA 96001

Dear Judge Gibson:

Re: Response of Board of Supervisors to Fiscal Year 2016-2017 Grand Jury Report: Shasta County Sheriff's Office – Animal Regulation Unit

The Shasta County Board of Supervisors appreciates the time and dedication which the 2016-2017 Grand Jurors contributed to their charge. The following findings and recommendations are under serious consideration and discussions are being held regarding solutions to any unresolved problems.

RESPONSES AND FINDINGS

FINDINGS

F5.

The Grand Jury findings:

The Grand Jury Initings.

The Shasta County Sheriff's Office, as the County's agent, has not met the contractual obligation of the Personal Services Agreement with Haven Humane Society which requires annual evaluations of the Agreement.

Response: The Board of Supervisors disagrees wholly with the finding. Shasta County has met the contractual obligations with Haven Humane Society. Monthly statistics are

the contractual obligations with Haven Humane Society. Monthly statistics are reviewed by the County and the Agreement is evaluated to ensure all obligations are

met and if any modifications to the agreement are necessary.

The Honorable Gary Gibson Shasta County Superior Court July 18, 2017 Page 2

RECOMMENDATIONS

The Grand Jury recommends:

R1.

By June 30, 2018, the Shasta County Sheriff-Coroner direct staff to fulfill the provisions of the County's Personal Services Agreement with Haven Humane Society that calls for annual evaluations of the Agreement. The results of these annual evaluations should be reported to the Board of Supervisors.

Response:

The recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted. County Department Heads have the authority to perform evaluations of contracts without the Board's involvement. Furthermore, the County reviews the monthly statistics throughout the year thus resulting in an ongoing evaluation that exceeds those of the agreement.

This concludes the responses of the Shasta County Board of Supervisors to the FY 2016-2017 Grand Jury Report entitled "Shasta County Sheriff's Office – Animal Regulation Unit."

Sincerely,

DAVID A. KEHOE, Chairman Board of Supervisors County of Shasta

LGL:jd